



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,779	08/17/2001	Yasuhisa Nakajima	SONYJP 3.0-203	3668

530 7590 03/19/2008
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

EXAMINER

VENT, JAMIE J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2621

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/19/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/931,779	Applicant(s) NAKAJIMA, YASUHISA	
	Examiner JAMIE JO VENT	Art Unit 2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 30,32,34-36,41,42 and 60-64 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 30,32,34-36,41,42 and 60-64 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based on Matsuzaki et al (US 6,289,314).
2. Claims 1-29, 31, 33, 37-40, 43-59 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 30, 32, 41, 42, and 60-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuzaki et al (US 6,289,314) in view of Saitoh et al (US 6,839,851).

[claim 30]

In regard to Claim 30, Matsuzaki et al discloses an information processing apparatus, comprising:

- Obtaining content data to be broadcast by digital broadcast transmission, the content data including at least one of video content data or audio content data (Figure 1 shows obtaining content data from the various transmitting stations as further described in Column 13 Lines 15-50);
- Generating discount charge data specifying a charge for use of the content data, use including at least one of reproducing or copying the

content data, wherein the charge decreases with a number of times the content data is used (Column 4 Lines 1-55 describe the charge scheme used to determine the charge needed for the use of broadcast data.

Furthermore, in Column 6 Lines 8-50 describe the discount information used to discount the rate of data for multiple users or uses of the broadcast stream);

- Inserting the discount charge data into a control message (Column 15 Lines 13-37 describes inserting discount charge data into the control message);
- Multiplexing the content data with the control message (Column 2 Lines 23+ describes the multiplexing of content data); however, fails to disclose
 - multiplexing the content data with the control message by a first information processing apparatus to produce multiplexed data and transmitting the multiplexed data via the digital broadcast transmission
 - receiving and demultiplexing the multiplexed data by a second information processing apparatus to receive the first control information and the content data
 - recording the received content data onto a recording medium by the second information processing apparatus

Saitoh et al teaches a system wherein data is multiplexed into a digital broadcast stream (Figure 5 and described in Column 5 Lines 10+) and further receives and

demultiplexes first and second control information (Figure 3) prior to recording the information onto the recording medium (Figure 3 and described in Column 3 Lines 25-37). The multiplexing and demultiplexing of data allows for the system to properly store and process the content data being processed within the system. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the system of processing discount data, as described by Matsuzaki et al, and further incorporate a system that provides multiplexing and demultiplexing of the control information into the broadcast stream, as taught by Saitoh, to provide an efficient broadcast transmission method of the content data.

[claim 32]

In regard to Claim 32, Matsuzaki et al discloses an information processing apparatus according to wherein the first control information specifies charging value to be divided in relation to a number when the content data is reproduced an nth time (Column 6 Lines 30-47 describes the charging for reproducing the content data wherein the charging value is related to the content data).

[claim 41]

In regard to Claim 41, Matsuzaki et al discloses an information processing apparatus, as disclosed in Claim 30, with the additional limitation of a receiver operable to receive a digital broadcast transmission and demultiplex the received transmission onto the content data and first control information (Figure 1 digital tuner 100 receivers digital broadcast information as recited in Column 13 Lines 15-50).

[claim 42]

In regard to Claim 42, the claim limitations have been previously discussed in Claim 32.

[claim 60]

In regard to Claim 60, Matsuzaki et al discloses a method wherein the discount charge data specifies a charge which varies inversely with the number of times the content is used (Column 5 Lines 30+ through Column 6 Lines 1-45 describes the charge for reproducing content and the charging value based on the content data being accessed.)

[claim 61]

In regard to Claim 61, the claim limitations have been previously discussed in Claim 30.

[claim 62]

In regard to Claim 62, the claim limitations have been previously discussed in Claim 60.

[claim 63]

In regard to Claim 63, the claim limitations have been previously discussed in Claim 30.

[claim 64]

In regard to Claim 64, the claim limitations have been previously discussed in Claim 60.

4. Claim 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuzaki et al (US 6,289,314) in view of Saitoh et al (US 6,839,851) in further view of Kato et al (US 6,470,496).

[claims 34]

In regard to Claim 34, Matsuzaki et al in view of Saitoh et al discloses an information processing apparatus; however, fails to disclose wherein the control

message includes an ECM (Entitlement Control Message) and the information processing apparatus further includes an encoder operable to code the content data and to multiplex the encoded content data with the ECM via an MPEG (Motion Picture Experts Group 2) transport stream.

Kato et al discloses a system wherein the control message includes an ECM message and furthermore includes an encoder operable to code the content data and to multiplex the encoded content data with the ECM via an MPEG 2 Transport stream as seen in Figure 1 and further described in Column 1 Lines 27-57. By providing the first control information into the ECM section of the MPEG 2 transport stream allows for the decrypting encrypted information to be provided as well as information such as the following: word key, scramble keys, date and time information, and recording control information, which is provided throughout the system in order to access the reproducing of content. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the information processing apparatus, as disclosed by Matsuzaki et al in view of Saitoh et al, and further incorporate a system which includes control messaging in the ECM, as further described in Kato et al.

5. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuzaki et al (US 6,289,314) in view of Saitoh et al (US 6,839,851) in further view of Kato et al (US 6,470,496) in further view of Sonoda et al (US 6,622,004).

[claim 35]

In regard to Claims 35, Matsuzaki et al in view of Saitoh et al discloses an information processing apparatus; however, fails to disclose that the encoder is operable to

multiplex the encoded content data in a carousel manner and the control message includes information inserted into an adaptation header of a DII (Download Info Indication) packet of the transport stream.

Sonoda et al discloses a system wherein the encoding of the content data is done in a carousel manner and the control message includes the download info indication packet as further described in Column 16 Lines 15+. The transmitting of packets in the carousel manner allows for selectively receiving of data and the placement of control information through the DII packet allows for an easier processing by the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the information processing apparatus, as disclosed by Matsuzaki et al in view of Saitoh et al, and further incorporate a system wherein the encoding is done in a carousel manner and includes information into the adaptation header of the DII, as disclosed by Sonoda et al.

[claim 36]

In regard to Claim 36, the claim limitations have been previously discussed in Claim 35.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMIE JO VENT whose telephone number is (571)272-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John W. Miller/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2623

Application/Control Number: 09/931,779
Art Unit: 2623

Page 9

/J. J. V./
Examiner, Art Unit 2621